IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

HOLCOMBE, et. al,

NO. 5:18-CV-00555-XR (consolidated cases)

Plaintiffs

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant

PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT UNITED STATES' TRIAL DEPOSITION DESIGNATION OF COLONEL JAMES HUDSON

Plaintiffs file the following Objections to Defendant United States' Designations of Deposition Testimony from Colonel James Hudson, Plaintiffs specifically object to the proffered page and line designations as follows:

Page / Line	Objection & Testimony at Issue	Court's Ruling		
		Sus- tained	Over- ruled	Other
187:16- 188:16	Plaintiff objects to this testimony after "I can't recall" as non-responsive to the question asked, not based on personal knowledge as required by FRE 602, and therefore speculative.			
	16 Q. Can you test it can you tell us how 17 the trainees were tested on criminal-data-history 18 compliance? 19 A. Well, we had excuse me. We had 20 exercises and scenario, which were hands-on testing, 21 whether or not a specific graded element included 22 criminal-data-history compliance, I can't recall. 23 In other words, I'm not sure if when we 24 were looking at a certain exercise or scenarios, 25 whether or not there was a line item that said, Did Page 188 1 you submit the fingerprint cards in compliance with 2 Air Force instruction or DoD IG, whatever. 3 Q. Um-hum.			
	A. It was probably more along the lines of, Did the student comply with all relevant aspects of conducting the investigation, documenting the investigation, closing the case file properly. So that was the hands-on piece. We had written tests as well that covered all the blocks of instruction. So presumably and I I don't recall, you know, I'd have to pull the tests but presumably, there were there were			

Page / Line	Objection & Testimony at Issue	Court's Ruling		ing
		Sus- tained	Over- ruled	Other
	13 questions on there about the timing or the 14 requirements of fingerprint cards and how we how 15 we took those, how we archived those, how we 16 submitted those, et cetera.			
249:23- 250:10	Plaintiff objects to the testimony as non-responsive to the question posed, not based on personal knowledge as required by FRE 602 and therefore speculative.			
	So whether it makes a difference or not remains to be seen, you know, as that activity transpires out to the actual investigation. Page 250 So if, for instance, the commander would have said, We have a real problem with, you know, tagging computers as evidence, you're not doing it correctly, we're going to do an all-stop focus on how to do that correctly, then one would expect if you put that much effort into that, the result would have been you would have had far fewer errors tagging computers correctly as evidence. But you don't know that until you collect the data after the fact.			
253:16 – 253:20	Plaintiff objects to the questions from Counsel Mr. Furman as calling for opinion testimony unsupported by proper foundation in violation of FRE 701 and 702.			

Page / Line	Objection & Testimony at Issue	Court's Ruling		
		Sus- tained	Over- ruled	Other
	16 Q. (By Mr. Furman) Did you feel the 17 training provided to the OSI agents was sufficient? 18 A. I did feel it was sufficient and it met 19 the requirements for the training requirements 20 injected that we had at the time.			
253:21 – 253:23	Plaintiff objects to the questions from Counsel Mr. Furman as calling for a response based on hearsay FRE 802.			
	Q. Did any anyone ever tell you that the training was not sufficient? A. No.			
253:24 – 254:7	Plaintiff objects to the questions from Counsel Mr. Furman not based on personal knowledge as required by FRE 602 and therefore speculative; and calling for speculative opinion testimony unsupported by proper foundation in violation of FRE 701 and 702.			
	Q. And when the students were done with your portion on the course that involved those Page 254 1 elements, did you believe that they understood the material?			
	 A. I believe that I provided them with 4 the the relevant information that they needed to 5 understand and be able to execute that requirement. 6 So the answer is yes, I felt they were 7 prepared to do what was required. 			

Page / Line	Objection & Testimony at Issue	Court's Ruling		
		Sus- tained	Over- ruled	Other
258:7– 258:17	Plaintiff objects to the questions from Counsel Mr. Furman as calling for a legal conclusion.			
	Q. So is it fair to say that your sinstructions as Region II commander under the regulations were more general in nature? A. Yes, I think so. Um-hum. Q. And as Region II Commander under the regulations, did you have discretion on how you seecuted your command role? A. Yes. Q. And you did, in fact, exercise that discretion? A. Yes.			
258:18 – 260:1	Plaintiff objects to the question from Counsel Mr. Furman on the grounds of relevance FRE 401, 403.			
	18 Q. And as Region II Commander, did you have 19 many responsibilities? 20 A. I had several responsibilities. 21 Q. What did those include? 22 A. Essentially, the training, equipping and 23 organizing of the entire region. So everything from 24 ensuring that all elements of the mission were being 25 accomplished in a timely manner, that we were Page 259 1 complying with guidance, whether it was			

Case 5:18-cv-00555-XR Document 385 Filed 03/12/21 Page 6 of 10

Page / Line	Objection & Testimony at Issue	Cou	Court's Ruling	
		Sus- tained	Over- ruled	Other
	administrative in nature or or operational in nature. I had responsibility for the care and feeding of the not only the personnel assigned to Region II, but also their families, in some cases. When we had several people deployed, we still had the obligation to ensure the families that were left behind were still taken care of. As I mentioned before, the the area of responsibility was vast. So I traveled a great deal to try to get out to as many units as I could to have face-to-face conversations, to check on their morale, to ensure they had as much as I could provide, the resources they needed to conduct their mission. I had a substantial role in international liaison with respect to making sure international, and even federal, state and local law enforcement partners understood our mission and worked collegially with us on joint issues. A a big role in visiting, again, all the different aspects of the the AOR that I was responsible for. Q. So there was a lot? Page 260 A. It was a lot.			

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Jamal K. Alsaffar

Jamal K. Alsaffar

JAlsaffar@nationaltriallaw.com

Texas Bar No. 24027193

Tom Jacob

TJacob@national trial law.com

Texas Bar No. 24069981

Whitehurst, Harkness, Brees, Cheng, Alsaffar & Higginbotham & Jacob PLLC

7500 Rialto Blvd, Bldg. Two, Ste 250

Austin, TX 78735

Office 512-476-4346

Fax 512-476-4400

Counsel for Vidal, McKenzie, Solis,

McNulty, and Wall

/s/ Jason P. Steed

Jason P. Steed

JSteed@kilpatricktownsend.com

Texas Bar No. 24070671

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 4400

Dallas, TX75201

Office 214-922-7112

Fax 214-853-5731

Counsel for Vidal, McNulty, and Wall

/s/ April A. Strahan

April A. Strahan

april@ammonslaw.com

Texas Bar No. 24056387

Robert E. Ammons

rob@ammonslaw.com

Texas Bar No. 01159820

The Ammons Law Firm

3700 Montrose Blvd.

Houston, TX 77006

Office 866-523-1603

Fax 713-523-4159

Counsel for Holcombe, Ramsey, Curnow

& Macias

/s/ Daniel J.T. Sciano

Daniel J.T. Sciano

DSciano@tsslawyers.com

Texas Bar No. 17881200

Tinsman & Sciano

10107 McAllister Freeway

San Antonio, TX 78216

Office 210-225-3121

Fax 210-225-6235

Counsel for Amador

/s/ Daniel Barks

Daniel D. Barks, pro hac vice

ddb@speiserkrause.com

Speiser Krause, P.C.

5555 Glenridge Connector, Suite 550

Atlanta, GA 30342

Office 571-814-3344

Fax 866-936-6382

Counsel for Holcombe

/s/ Mark Collmer

Mark W. Collmer

mark@collmerlaw.com

Texas Bar No. 04626420

Collmer Law Firm

3700 Montrose

Houston, TX 77006

Office 713-337-4040

Counsel for Holcombe

/s/ Dennis Peerv

Dennis Charles Peery

d.peery@tylerpeery.com

Texas Bar No. 15728750

R. Craig Bettis

cbett is @tyler peery.com

Texas Bar No. 24040518

Tyler & Peery

5822 West IH 10

San Antonio, TX 78201

Office 210-774-6445

Counsel for Uhl

/s/ George LeGrand

George LeGrand

tegrande@aol.com

Texas Bar No. 12171450

Stanley Bernstein

Texas Bar No. 02225400

LeGrand & Bernstein

2511 N. Saint Mary's St.

San Antonio, Texas 78212

Office 210-733-9439

Fax 510-735-3542

Counsel for Wall & Solis

/s/ Justin Demerath

Justin Demerath

jdemerath@808 west.com

Texas Bar No. 24034415

O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo

808 West Ave.

Austin, TX 78701

Office 512-494-9949

Counsel for Corrigan, Braden,

Warden, Stevens, Pachal, McCain, &

Poston

/s/ Tim Maloney

Tim Maloney

Texas Bar No. 12887380

timmaloney@yahoo.com

Paul E. Campolo

pcampolo@maloneyandcampolo.com

Texas Bar No. 03730150

Maloney & Campolo, L.L.P.

926 S. Alamo

San Antonio, TX 78205

Office (210) 465-1523

Counsel for Ramsey

/s/ Joseph M. Schreiber

Joseph M. Schreiber

joe@lawdoneright.net

Texas Bar No. 24037449

Erik A. Knockaert

erik@lawdoneright.net

Texas Bar No. 24036921

Schreiber | Knockaert, PLLC

701 N. Post Oak Rd., Suite 325

Houston, TX 77024

Phone (281) 949-8904

Fax (281) 949-8914

Counsel for Brown

/s/ Jason Webster

Jason Webster

jwebster@thewebsterlawfirm.com

Texas Bar No. 24033318

The Webster Law Firm

6200 Savov

Suite 640

Houston, TX 77036

Counsel for Lookingbill

/s/ Brett Reynolds

Brett T. Reynolds

btreynolds@btrlaw.com

Texas Bar No. 16795500

Brett Reynolds & Associates, P.C.

1250 N.E. Loop 420, Suite 420 San Antonio, TX 78219

(210)805-9799

Counsel for Workman, Colbath, and Harris

/s/ Hugh J. Plummer

Hugh J. Plummer

hplummer@thomasjhenrylaw.com

Law Office of Thomas J. Henry

4715 Fredricksburg

San Antonio, TX 78229

(210) 585-2151

(361) 985-0601 (fax)

Counsel for McMahan

/s/ Craig Carlson

Craig Carlson

ccarlson@carlsonattorneys.com

Philip Koelsch

pkoelsch@carlsonattorneys.com

Joe Craven

jcraven@carlsonattorneys.com

The Carlson Law Firm

100 E Central Texas Expy Killeen, TX 76541

254-526-5688

Counsel for Rios

/s/ Marion M. Reilly

Marion M. Reilly

Hilliard Munoz Gonzales, L.L.P.

719 S. Shoreline - Ste 500

Corpus Christi, TX 78401

(361) 882-1612

361/882-3015 (fax)

marion@hmglawfirm.com

Counsel for McMahan

/s/ Kelly W. Kelly

Kelly W. Kelly

Anderson & Associates Law Firm

2600 SW Military Drive, Suite 118

San Antonio, TX 78224

(210) 928-9999

(210) 928-9118 (fax)

kk.aalaw@yahoo.com

Counsel for Ward

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing was filed through the Court's CM/ECF system on March 12, 2021, and the following counsel for the United States have received notice and been served through that system.

/s/ Jamal K. Alsaffar Jamal K. Alsaffar

BRIAN BOYNTON

Acting Assistant Attorney General Civil Division

ASHLEY C. HOFF United States Attorney Western District of Texas

KIRSTEN WILKERSON Assistant Director, Torts Branch United States Dept. of Justice Civil Division

PAUL DAVID STERN
Trial Attorney, Torts Branch
United States Dept. of Justice
Civil Division

CLAYTON R. DIEDRICHS Assistant United States Attorney

JIM F. GILLIGAN Assistant United States Attorney

KRISTIN K. BLOODWORTH Assistant United States Attorney JOHN PANISZCZYN, Civil Chief United States Attorney's Office Western District of Texas

JAMES G. TOUHEY, JR. Director, Torts Branch United States Dept. of Justice Civil Division

STEPHEN E. HANDLER Senior Trial Counsel, Torts Branch United States Dept. of Justice Civil Division

STEPHEN TERRELL Trial Attorney, Torts Branch United States Dept. of Justice Civil Division

JAMES E. DINGIVAN Assistant United States Attorney

JACQUELYN M. CHRISTILLES Assistant United States Attorney